

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/822,435	04/12/2004	Gloria Falla	PL101USU	1439
7590 04/06/2005			EXAMINER	
Charles N. J. Ruggiero			HALE, GLORIA M	
Ohlandt, Greele	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L	.L.P.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Landmark Square			3765	
Stamford, CT 06901-2682 .			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/822,435	FALLA, GLORIA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gloria Hale	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2004 is/are: a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application In the price is the second receive in the second received in the second received.	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) D) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-2-05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/822,435

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first and second arm orientation as claimed in claim 19 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Also Figures 3-6 are not clear and the configurations are not clearly seen especially the fabric covered areas. Also the reference numbers are not clearly seen. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 1 and 15 it is not clear as to whether the fasteners are being claimed in combination with a brassiere with shoulder straps or is just the fastener itself. The brassiere structure has not been positively claimed other than in an intended use recitation. Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

The first four entries on page 1 of the IDS submitted on 2-2-05 are incorrect. The Patent Numbers indicated do not match the Inventor names indicated by applicant. The Examiner has placed the correct name provided by EAST in the Inventor name location of the form. The Patent Numbers indicated display patents that are not related to the present invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5,7-12,15-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ophir (US D 246704).

Ophir discloses a fastener with a first arm and a second arm and a wave portion to from a triangular or heart shape. The wave portion of Ophir includes a flange and with the first and second arms connected together at one end to form a plane. The arms of Ophir

Art Unit: 3765

have first and second ends as claimed. The "Angle" formed in Ophir are within the claimed ranges as seen in the figures 1-3. When used in a brassiere as a shoulder strap fastener the Ophir fastener functions as claimed and as outlined in the intended use recitation. The intended use recitation has not been given patentable weight since the claimed structure does not distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art fastener satisfying the same structural limitations. (See Ophir, figures 1-3).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wales (US348871).

Wales discloses a fastener as seen in figure 8 with first and second arms connected at an angle, including a wave portion, a flange with tapered sides and wherein the shape of the fastener is a triangular or heart shape as broadly claimed. The pair of tapered sides are parallel as claimed and the first and second arms have first and second ends and where in the first and second arms are connected together at one end to form a plane. In regard to claim 19, Wales discloses the arm configuration as broadly claimed and as best understood wherein the arm is angled and the other is parallel to the plane as seen in figure 6 of Wales.

The fastener of Wales when used to attach a shoulder strap to a brassiere would create the biased orientation (due to the angled arms) in relation to the body of the brassiere as claimed. However, the intended use recitations have not been given patentable weight since they do not further distinguish the structure of the inventive fastener over the prior art fastener satisfying the same structural limitations. The angles created by the first and second arms appear to be in the claimed ranges as seen in figure 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ophir (US D 246,704) in view of Hittel et al (US 3,699,971).

Ophir discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ophir does not disclose the fastener as specifically being placed on a shoulder strap of a brassiere to connect the strap to the brassiere panel. Hittel et al discloses a fastener clasp 44 (as seen in figure 1) to attach a shoulder strap to a brassiere panel. Accordingly it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the fastener of Ophir within a brassiere to connect a brassiere shoulder strap to a brassiere in the front or the rear and wherein such use would create an angled connection and position of the shoulder strap that would be inherently caused by the angled arms which hold the strap ends. (See Hittel et al, figure 1).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria Hale whose telephone number is 571-272-4984. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,435 Page 6

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 571-272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glofía Hale Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3765
